



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bex 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/008,478 | 12/10/2001 | Michael Z. Yuan | A8237 | 5630 | |
| 75 | 90 07/08/2003 | | | | |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 2100 Pennsylva Washington, Do | mia Avenue, NW C 20037-3213 | | LAMB, BRENDA A | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1734 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | r | | | mr | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 1008,779 Man. | | | | | | |
| , | Examiner. | > | Group Art Upit | | | | |
| The MAN INC DATE of the control of the | <u> </u> | <i>)</i> | [70] | | | | |
| —The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet b | eneath the co | rrespondence addre | !SS | | | |
| Peri d for Response | ~ | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | T TO EXPIRE |) MONTH | H(S) FROM THE | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defaute a response within the set or extended period for response will, by | response within the statuto it, expire SIX (6) MONTHS | ory minimum of the from the mailing | irty (30) days will be consi | dered timely. | | | |
| Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL . | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 | r formal matters, pros e C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213 | ecution as to | the merits is closed | in | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 2Claim(s) $1-21$ | is/are p | is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| □ C/aim(s) | | is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 12 Glaim(s) 1 and 5-6 | is/are re | is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | | is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | | are sub | ject to restriction or el | ection | | | |
| Application Papers | | require | ment. | | | | |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F | Review, PTO-948. | | | | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. | | | | | | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected | • • | •• | | | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| $\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | | | | | | | |
| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under lacknowledgment is made of the CERTIFIED copies of the lacknowledgment is made of the CERTIFIED copies of the lacknowledgment is made of the CERTIFIED copies of the lacknowledgment is made of the CERTIFIED copies of the lacknowledgment is made of the lacknowledgment is made of the CERTIFIED copies of the lacknowledgment is made of the lacknowledgment is mad | priority documents ha | ve been | | | | | |
| *Certified copies not received: | - | | · | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | i | | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s |). □In | terview Summ | ary, PTO-413 | | | | |
| Dotice of References Cited, PTO-892 | • | □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | | | | | | | |
| Office Acti n Summary | | | | | | | |

•

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-11, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 405.

- II. Claims 12-16, drawn to method, classified in class 427, subclass 8.
- III. Claims 17-21, drawn to method, classified in class 427, subclass 9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as coating a member with an initial setting of the die, without performing measurements and adjusting the die based on those measurements.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, since Invention II measures a diameter of the coated member and Invention III measures a thickness of the coating applied to the member.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1734

During a telephone conversation between Examiner Bareford and Attorney

Hannon on 12/9/2002 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-11. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 12 –21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hilakos.

Hilakos teaches the design of an apparatus for applying coating to an elongated member which is comprised of a coating unit having an sizing die with an orifice 34 through which the elongated member is conveyed. Hilakos teaches the diameter of the sizing die is adjustable via screws 48. Hilakos teaches curing structural element set forth in claim 1. With respect to claims 5-6, Hilakos apparatus is capable of the end use of applying coating to an optical fiber or conducive member. Note it has been held that

Application/Control Number: 10/008,478 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2d 1647 (1987).

Claims 2-4 and 7-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Lamb whose telephone number is 703-308-2056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday through Friday with alternate Tuesdays off.

Real Add Laws

BRENDA A. LAMB PRIMARY EXAMINER

B. A. Lamb/mn June 25, 2003